### Capital Cluster Risk Register

Code	CP001		failures / slippage in the delivery of com external sources, impacts negati				
Definition			folio of projects within the Capital Plan, failure to deliver a particular project could have an adverse impact on the LOIP d have an adverse impact on any external funding opportunities.				
Potential Impact	·	Causes	Control Effectiveness		Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment		
. Investment opportunities missed Inability to deliver balanced budget Inability to fund planned projects . Reputational damage Litigation.		. Disconnect between project management and corporate	Alignment of risk at project and directorate levels with specific regard to allocated budgets	Effective	O		
		financial management . Poor project management skills base . Project risk escalations not	Effective project management with risk management training for key managers in relation to the Capital Programme	Partially Effective	Likelihood		
		notified to corporate financial	Project delivery reviews	Partially Effective			
		management . Understatement of project risk . "Optimism Bias"	Have open communication channels and effective sharing of information within the Cluster	Effective			
		Poor communication channels     Key financial decision	Effective communication channels maintained with contractors/developers to minimise disruption	Partially Effective			
		making at project level not aligned with corporate	Regular reporting to Director and wider CMT	Effective	]		
		financial management			Very serious		
		. Inability of Developers to make payment to Council in relation to s75 Contributions or require reimbursement of said funds including interest			Significant		
Mitigating Actions					Residual Risk Assessment		

- Ensure project/programme risk register review meetings include consideration of any financial impact on the wider capital portfolio and any implications this may have across the Council.
- Review risk management training programme for key staff, following Service Re-Design Ensure key/sensitive projects allocated to managers with appropriate skills
- Ensure Project Execution Plans are prepared for key projects
- Hold regular meetings with Comms staff to ensure aligned communications related to key projects.
- Hold regular meeting with Officer within Place to manage s75 contributions.

Impact	H					
edu						
_	t					
Likelihood						
Very serious						
Very Low						

ĺ	Risk Owner	Steve Whyte	Risk Manager	John Wilson	
	Latest Note	hief Officer – Capital to revisit in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020 following Service Redesign			

Code	CP002	ack of staff resources which impacts on the delivery of capital projects.				
Definition	The Council is committed to the delivery of its strategic and capital planning priorities. Failure to deliver these priorities within time scale is a highly significant risk to the expectations as set out within the LOIP.  In lieu of the wide portfolio of projects within the Capital Plan, failure to deliver a particular project due to staff resource issues will have an adverse impact on the LOIP expectations, whether that project is directly related to Place, People, Economy or Technology.					
Potential Impact	tential Impact Causes Control Effectiveness			Current Risk		
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment	
. late delivery of project		. lack of professional	Key projects to have their own risk register	Effective		
. Inability to deliver balance . risk of interdependencies v		resources for design, contract documentation, site	Regular risk register reviews for key projects	Effective	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
. Reputational damage.	p	supervision and contract	Regular progress meetings for key projects	Effective	Trad Likelihood	
		management	Regular reporting to key Chief Officers	Effective	= Likelinood	
. Poor project m skills base		. Poor project management skills base	Regular reporting to Director of Resources and wider CMT for key projects	Effective		
			Significant risks reported to Capital Programme Committee for key projects	Effective		
					_	
					Very serious	
					High	
Mitigating Actions					Residual Risk Assessment	
<ul> <li>Ensure project management good practice is applied with regard to maintaining risk registers (where appropriate)</li> <li>Hold regular meetings with other key Chief Officers (Finance, Corporate Landlord etc) to review any specific project's delivery</li> <li>Ensure key projects allocated to managers with appropriate skills</li> <li>Hold regular meetings with Comms staff to ensure aligned communications related to key projects.</li> <li>Review staff resources to align with project delivery. This will include</li> <li>An independent review of external staff resources out with the Capital team and internal to the Aberdeen City Council to deliver the capital programme of projects</li> <li>A review of available consultant/contractor frameworks to facilitate the delivery of the capital programme of projects</li> <li>Consultation with the Chief Officer - Commissioning to consider options taking cognisance of the LOIP.</li> <li>Consultation with the Chief Officer - Finance taking cognisance of the wider cost pressures.</li> </ul>					Likelihood Very serious Very Low	

Risk Owner	Steve Whyte	Risk Manager	John Wilson	
Latest Note	Chief Officer – Capital to revisit in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020 fol	hief Officer – Capital to revisit in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020 following Service Redesign		

Code	CP003	Budget allocations within approved Outline Business Cases of projects are insufficient for project development/construction and any associated future maintenance obligations.				
Definition	for capital projects whe full life-cycle of the lin lieu of the wide points.	tted to the delivery of its strategic and capital planning priorities. Strategic Outline Business Case / Outline Business Case ich are still at feasibility or detailed design stage shall be reviewed to ensure that they include an updated cost estimate for project.  If of projects within the Capital Plan, any significant changes following the review of a project may have an adverse expectations. For example, any forecast increase in costs may impact on the funding allocation of other projects across the				
Potential Impact		Causes Control Effectiveness			Current Risk	
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment	
. impact on Revenue I	. increase in project cost estimate . impact on Revenue budget		. Cost estimates for key projects to be reviewed at key stages of delivery.	Effective		
. Inability to deliver ba . late delivery of project		. Full life cycle cost estimate not prepared	. Regular progress meetings.	Effective	Likelihood	
. risk of interdepender	. risk of interdependencies with other projects		. Regular reporting to other Chief Officers	Effective	<u></u> <u>E</u> Likelihood	
. Reputational damage.		Poor Change Control     lack of awareness of interdependencies     unrealistic timescale for delivery	. Close collaboration with Chief Officer- Corporate Landlord or other Project Sponsor.	Effective		
			. Regular reporting to Chief Officer - Finance	Effective	]	
			. Regular reporting to Director of Resources	Effective		
					Very serious	
					Residual Risk Assessment	
. Prepare Strategic Outline Case at the outset . Review Outline Business Cases for key projects within the Capital Plan . Ensure independent cost estimate review check is carried out, prior to approving OBC . Regular reporting to Finance Officers, monthly . Monthly meeting with Resources CMT to raise any issues . Maintain overview of protocol for OBC preparation and approval with other Chief Officers – Corporate Landlord, Place, Commissioning, Legal, City Growth Depending on outcomes, consultation with the Chief Officer - Finance taking cognisance of the wider cost pressures.					Likelihood Very serious Very low	

Risk Owner	Steve Whyte	Risk Manager	John Wilson	
Latest Note	Chief Officer – Capital to revisit in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020 fol	hief Officer – Capital to revisit in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2020 following Service Redesign		